

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/019,208	05/02/2002 Akio Yasuda		450117-03703 9913	
20999 75	590 03/05/2003			
FROMMER LAWRENCE & HAUG			EXAMINER	
745 FIFTH AVENUE- 10TH FL. NEW YORK, NY 10151		TRUONO	G, DUC	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1711	
			DATE MAILED: 03/05/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		53
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/019,208	YASUDA ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Duc Truong	1711
The MAILING DATE of this communicateriod for Reply	ion appears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3' after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) da - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuto - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ation. y c reply within the statutory minimum of the property of the prope	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. NNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on	
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b)		
Since this application is in condition fo closed in accordance with the practice sposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the app	lication.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are v		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	n and/or election requirement.	
oplication Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)[☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by	the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objecti	on to the drawing(s) be held in abe	yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed or		disapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are requir		
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner.	
iority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	foreign priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority do	cuments have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority do	cuments have been received in	Application No
Copies of the certified copies of t application from the Internation See the attached detailed Office action for	onal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))).

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

3)🖂	Acknowledgment is made	of a	claim for foreign	priority under 35	U.S.C. §	§ 119(a)-(d)) or (f)	
-----	------------------------	------	-------------------	-------------------	----------	--------------	----------	--

Period for Reply

Status

Disposition of Claims

Application Papers

* See the attached detailed Office ac

14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).

a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.

15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

) 🔀 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).
) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
) X Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6.	6) Other: .

Application/Control Number: 10/019,208

Art Unit: 1711

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-2, 22, 24 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by LEE J-I et al of record on 1449 or 133: 141917 or 125: 342810.

LEE discloses a polyfluorene end-capped with at least one charge transporting moiety such as anthracene, to form a light-emitting electrochemical cells. (see Abstract and in Fig. 1).

Nothofer discloses liquid crystalline polyfluorenes for blue polarized electroluminescence, comprising a polyfluorene end-capped with a hole transporting moiety such as a triphenylamine derivative.

Seda discloses an organic electronic device using a polyfluorene end-capped with a charge transporting polyester.

Thus, each and every limitation of the claims is met by the references.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3-21, 25 and 27-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over LEE et al or Chem Abstract 133: 141917 or 125: 342810.

The references disclose a polyfluorene end-capped with at least one charge transporting moiety, as stated above.

The disclosures of the references differ from the instant claims in that they do not disclose the broad teachings of the claimed transporting moieties with so many formulae.

However, they do disclose a specific formula which is included in the broad teachings of the claimed formulae. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to select the charge transporting moiety from the references within the limitations of the instant claims since they have been shown to be effective in a similar system and thus would have been expected to provide adequate results. There is no showing of unexpected results derived from said selections.

Claims 9-10, 12, 14, 16, 18, 20-22 and 25-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 9-10, 12, 14, 16, 18, 20-22, 25-26, 28-30 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. The claims are improper in the use of the terminology "any of the foregoing claims, any of claims 1-11, any of claims 16-17, any of claims 16-19, any of

the claims 16-20, any of claims 1-15, any of claims 16-21, any of claims 1-15, any of claims 16-21 and any of claimd 22-25. The MDC must refer back to preceding claims in the alternatively and must not depend from another MDC either directly or indirectly.

Claims 26-30 provide for the use of a polyfluorene, a film or a device, but, since the claim does not set forth any steps involved in the method/process, it is unclear what method/process applicant is intending to encompass. A claim is indefinite where it merely recites a use without any active, positive steps delimiting how this use is actually practiced.

Claims 26-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed recitation of a use, without setting forth any steps involved in the process, results in an improper definition of a process, i.e., results in a claim which is not a proper process claim under 35 U.S.C. 101. See for example *Ex parte Dunki*, 153 USPQ 678 (Bd.App. 1967) and *Clinical Products, Ltd.* v. *Brenner*, 255 F. Supp. 131, 149 USPQ 475 (D.D.C. 1966).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Duc Truong whose telephone number is 703-308-2437. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Seidleck can be reached on 703-308-2462. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9791 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Application/Control Number: 10/019,208

Art Unit: 1711

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

DT February 27, 2003 DUCTRUONG PRIMARY EXAMINER

De The -V

Page 5